UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,799	02/27/2004	Gerald Nelson	15420.49.1	7858
David B. Delle	7590 01/25/200 nbach	EXAMINER .		
WORKMAN N		NGUYEN, TAM M		
1000 EAGLE ( 60 EAST SOU	GATE TOWER TH TEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CITY, UT 84111	3764		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/788,799	NELSON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Tam Nguyen	3764
The MAILING L Period for Reply	PATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING D available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period at or extended period for reply will, by statutiffice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON ig date of this communication, even if timely file	N. imely filed must be mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is F 3) ☐ Since this appli	cation is in condition for allowa	February 2004.  Is action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, proceedings of the control of the cont	•
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10) The drawing(s) for the Applicant may no Replacement dra	t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§-119		
a) All b) Sor  1. Certified  2. Certified  3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documen copies of the priority documen f the certified copies of the priority from the International Burea	ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's ( 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/788,799 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-25, drawn to a lift apparatus, classified in class 187, subclass
     201.
  - II. Claims 26-30, drawn to a treadmill, classified in class 482, subclass 54.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a lift apparatus. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. A telephone call was made to David B. Dellenbach on Tuesday, January 16, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/788,799

Art Unit: 3764

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 16, 2007

\*\*\*

James Lander HO

Page 4